



Public Health External Advisory Committee

January 18, 2008

Small Group Discussions

Group 1

Location:

Topic: MPH and MHA degrees

Sections: 2.3, 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.7

Members: C. Brady, L. Conti, D. Hayes, B. Locke, G. Mac Donald, J. Montgomery, D. Willis, D. Wood

Group 2

Location:

Topics: Creation, application and advancement of knowledge
Faculty, staff, and students

Sections: 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 4.1, 4.2, 4.3, 4.4, 4.5, 4.6

Members: A. Behrman, T. Belcuore, R. Gutekunst, M. Haney, L. Keen, D. Lollar, M. Napier, B. Richardson

Before you begin, please identify a reporter for the group

Questions for discussion of each section

- Is our message clear? Did we respond directly to the criterion (as described in the “interpretation”)?
- Are supporting tables understandable?
- Are there specific examples we should use to strengthen the section?
- Have we analyzed our strengths and weaknesses appropriately, given the information presented?
- Are our plans for the future consistent with the focus of the criterion and our own strengths and weaknesses?
- Can you identify additional specific plans we should consider?